On April 29, 1943, the defendant having entered a plea of guilty, the court imposed a fine of \$1,000 and 4 months in jail on the first count, and suspended sentence on the remaining 7 counts, placing the defendant on probation for 2 years.

4541. Adulteration of macaroni, spaghetti, and noodles. U. S. v. 37 Cases of Egg Noodles (and 3 additional lots of alimentary pastes). Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 8724. Sample Nos. 14555–F to 14558-F, incl.)

These products contained beetles and larvae.

On November 27, 1942, the United States attorney for the District of Arizona filed a libel against 1321/2 cases of alimentary pastes at Phoenix, Ariz., alleging that the articles had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about June 9 and June 10, 1942, by the Kentucky Macaroni Co., from Wichita, Kans.; and charging that they were adulterated in that they consisted in whole or in part of filthy substances. The articles were labeled in part: (Bags) "Del Monico Brand * * * Pure Egg Noodles [or "Elbow Macaroni," "Elbow Spaghetti," or "Shell Macaroni"]."

On January 13, 1943, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation

was entered and the products were ordered destroyed.

4542. Adulteration and misbranding of egg noodles. U. S. v. 180 Cases and 300 Cases of Egg Noodles. Decrees of condemnation. Portion of product ordered released under bond for relabeling. Remainder ordered destroyed. (F. D. C. Nos. 8860, 8861. Sample Nos. 1852–F, 24511–F.)

This product contained less than 5½ percent of egg solids. On November 12 and November 14, 1942, the United States attorneys for the District of Maryland and the Northern District of Illinois filed libels against 180 20-pound cases of egg noodles at Perry Point, Md., and 300 20-pound cases at Hines, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about September 14 and 16, 1942, by the Blue Ribbon Noodle Co., Inc., from Wilkes-Barre, Pa.; and charging that it was adulterated and misbranded. The article was labeled in part: (Shipping case) "Reeves Parvin Co. Medium Pure Egg Noodles.'

It was alleged to be adulterated in that a valuable constituent, egg, had been in whole or in part omitted, and in that noodles, deficient in egg solids, had

been substituted wholly or in part for egg noodles.

It was alleged to be misbranded in that the statement, "Pure Egg Noodles," borne on the label, was false and misleading as applied to an article that was deficient in egg solids and in that it was offered for sale under the name of another

food, egg noodles.

On January 7, 1943, no claimant having appeared for the seizure located at Hines, Ill., judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed. On March 25, 1943, Reeves Parvin & Co. having appeared for itself and on behalf of the Blue Ribbon Noodle Co., Inc., as claimant for the goods seized at Perry Point, Md., and having admitted the allegations of the libel and consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered released under bond for relabeling under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration.

4543. Adulteration and misbranding of noodles. U. S. v. 551½ Cases of Noodles. Default decree of condemnation. Product ordered sold to highest bidder. (F. D. C. No. 8162. Sample No. 5940–F.)

On August 19, 1942, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Missouri filed a libel against 148 cases of noodles at St. Louis, Mo. On December 4, 1942, the libel was amended to cover a total of 281 cases, and on January 8, 1943, the libel was amended for the second time bringing the total to $551\frac{1}{2}$ cases of noodles. It was alleged in the second amended libel that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce within the period from on or about April 14 to May 15, 1942, by National Foods, Inc., from Pittsburgh, Pa., and that it was adulterated and misbranded. A portion of the article was labeled in part: (Bags) "'Oodles' Plain Noodles.'

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that a substance, egg, had been added thereto and mixed and packed therewith so as to make it appear better

or of greater value than it was.

It was alleged to be misbranded in that the design of a farmer carrying a full basket of eggs and spearheads of wheat, appearing on the label of the article, was misleading since such design suggested that the article was made from wheat and eggs, whereas the article contained an inconsequential amount of eggs. It was alleged to be misbranded further in that the statement "Made of